



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/051,415

01/18/2002

Santosh C. Lolayekar

MARA-01001US0 SBS

7003

48789

7590

11/01/2006

LAW OFFICES OF BARRY N. YOUNG  
260 SHERIDAN AVENUE  
SUITE 410  
PALO ALTO, CA 94306-2047

EXAMINER

WALSH, JOHN B

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2151

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/051,415	LOLAYEKAR ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	John B. Walsh	2151	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) John B. Walsh. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Barry Young. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 04 October 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1-36 and 49.

Identification of prior art discussed: Latif et al. '730.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant's representative discussed the differences between the present invention and the prior art of record. One of these differences included that Latif translated protocols by using an intermediate protocol and his invention did not accomplish this without buffering the packet or occur at wire speed. The examiner indicated this initial discussion to be persuasive and suggested the applicant submit a response in writing to the final rejection indicating these particular differences. The examiner would review them in more detail at that time and the prior art rejection of Latif et al. '730 would most likely be withdrawn.